

# THE $p$ -ADIC COMPLEX NUMBERS

YIHANG ZHU

## 1. BASIC PROPERTIES

The residue field of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  is  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , which is not algebraically closed. Therefore  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  is not algebraically closed. We extend the  $p$ -adic valuation and absolute value on  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  to  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ , denoted by  $|\cdot|$  and  $v$ . Note that  $v$  on  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$  is no longer discrete. By definition, we have  $v(x) = [L : \mathbb{Q}_p]^{-1}v(N_{L/\mathbb{Q}_p} x)$ , if  $x \in L$  with  $L/\mathbb{Q}_p$  a finite extension. We normalize so that  $v(p) = 1, |p| = p^{-1}$ .

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let  $m$  be a positive integer coprime to  $p$ . The  $m$ -th roots of unity  $\{\zeta_i, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$  in  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$  are pairwise non-congruent modulo  $v$  i.e.  $v(\zeta_i - \zeta_j) = 0, i \neq j$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $\{\zeta_i, 1 \leq i \leq m-1\}$  are the  $m$ -th roots of unity apart from 1. Then  $\prod_{1 \leq i \leq m-1} (1 - \zeta_i) = \frac{X^m - 1}{X - 1}|_{X=1} = m$ . But  $v(m) = 0$ , so  $v(1 - \zeta_i) = 0$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 1.2.**  *$\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$  is not complete.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$  is complete. Then the following series should converge to an element  $\alpha \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ .

$$(1) \quad \alpha = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \zeta_n p^n,$$

where  $\zeta_n$  is a primitive  $n$ -th root of unity in  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$  if  $p \nmid n$ , and  $\zeta_n := 1$  if  $p \mid n$ . Let  $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$  be a finite extension such that  $\alpha \in K$ . We prove by induction that  $K$  contains all the  $\zeta_n$ 's. But then since the residue field of  $K$  is finite, we have a contradiction, by the previous Lemma.

To show that  $K$  contains all the  $\zeta_n$ , suppose  $p \nmid m$  and  $K$  contains  $\zeta_n$  for  $n < m$ . Then  $K$  contains the element

$$(2) \quad \beta = p^{-m}(\alpha - \sum_{n < m} \zeta_n p^n).$$

But  $\beta \equiv \zeta_m \pmod{p}$ , so by Hensel's lemma, the element  $\zeta_m \pmod{p}$ , which is contained in the residue field of  $K$ , lifts to an  $m$ -th root of unity in  $K$ . But the latter has to be  $\zeta_m$  itself by the previous Lemma.  $\square$

We let  $\mathbb{C}_p$  be the  $p$ -adic completion of  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ , called the field of  $p$ -adic complex numbers.

**Proposition 1.3.**  *$\mathbb{C}_p$  is algebraically closed.*

*Proof.* Let  $f(x) \in \mathbb{C}_p[x]$ , we need to show  $\mathbb{C}_p$  contains a root of  $f(x)$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume  $f(x)$  is monic with coefficients in  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}$ . We pick a sequence of monic polynomials  $f_n(X) \in \mathcal{O}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p}[x]$  that coefficient-wise converge to  $f(X)$ . Say  $f_{n+1} - f_n$  has coefficients in  $\{v \geq N_n\}, N_n \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $\alpha_n$

be a root of  $f_n(X)$  in  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ . Then necessarily  $v(\alpha_n) \geq 0$ . We have  $v(f_{n+1}(\alpha_n)) = v(f_{n+1}(\alpha_n) - f_n(\alpha_n)) \geq N_n \rightarrow \infty$ . But if  $f_{n+1}(X) = \prod_i (X - \beta_i)$ , then

$$v(f_{n+1}(\alpha_n)) = \sum_i v(\alpha_n - \beta_i) \geq N_n,$$

so  $f_{n+1}$  has a root  $\alpha_{n+1}$  with  $v(\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n) \geq N_n / \deg f$ . Thus we get a Cauchy sequence  $\{\alpha_n\}_n \subset \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ , whose limit in  $\mathbb{C}_p$  is a root of  $f(X)$ .

Alternatively, let  $\alpha$  be a root of  $f(X)$  in  $\mathbb{C}_p$ . Find a monic polynomial  $g(X) \in \mathcal{O}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p}[X]$  that is coefficient-wise close to  $f(X)$  and let  $\beta$  be a root of  $g(X)$ . Let  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{C}}_p/\mathbb{C}_p)$ . Then  $v(\alpha - \sigma\alpha) \geq \min\{v(\alpha - \beta), v(\sigma\alpha - \beta)\} = v(\alpha - \beta)$ . If  $\sigma \neq 1$ , then we get an upper bound of  $v(\alpha - \beta)$  that only depends on  $\alpha$ . Let  $\beta_i$  be the roots of  $g$ , then  $v(g(\alpha)) = \sum v(\alpha - \beta_i)$  has an upper bound. But  $v(g(\alpha)) = v(g(\alpha) - f(\alpha))$  can be made arbitrarily large if we choose  $g$  to be close to  $f$ . The argument in this paragraph implicitly proves what is called Krasner's Lemma.  $\square$

## 2. THE THEOREM OF TATE AND AX

The main reference for the following material is the paper *Zeros of Polynomials over Local Fields - The Galois Action* by James Ax, 1969.

The Galois group  $G = \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p)$  acts on  $\mathbb{C}_p$  by isometries. Let  $H$  be a closed subgroup of  $G$ . We want to determine the fixed field  $\mathbb{C}_p^H$ . Of course  $K := (\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p)^H \subset \mathbb{C}_p^H$ , and also  $\hat{K} \subset \mathbb{C}_p^H$  because  $H$  acts continuously on  $\mathbb{C}_p$ . Here  $\hat{K}$  is the completion (closure) of  $K$  inside  $\mathbb{C}_p$ .

**Theorem 2.1** (Tate-Ax).  $\mathbb{C}_p^H = \hat{K}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \in \mathbb{C}_p^H$ . Without loss of generality we may assume  $v(x) \geq 0$ . Let  $\{x_n\} \subset \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$  be a sequence converging to  $x$ . We may assume  $v(x_n - x) > n$ . For  $g \in H$  we have

$$v(gx_n - x_n) = v(gx_n - x + x - x_n) \geq \min\{v(gx_n - x), v(x - x_n)\} = v(x - x_n) > n.$$

By the following proposition, this implies that there exists  $y_n \in K$  such that  $v(y_n - x_n) \geq n - p/(p-1)^2$ . Then we have  $y_n \rightarrow x$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $K$  be an algebraic extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . Let  $v$  be the  $p$ -adic valuation on  $K$  normalized by  $v(p) = 1$ . Let  $x \in \bar{K}$  be such that for all  $g \in G_K = \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ ,  $v(gx - x) \geq n$ . Then there exists  $y \in K$  such that  $v(x - y) \geq n - p/(p-1)^2$ . Simply put, if a small ball of  $\bar{K}$  contains a whole Galois orbit, then by enlarging the small ball by a constant scalar, we get a ball that contains an element of  $K$ .*

We need the following lemma to prove the proposition.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $f(X) \in \bar{K}[X]$  be a monic polynomial of degree  $d > 1$ . If  $d$  is not a power of  $p$ , let  $q$  be the  $p$ -part of  $d$ . If  $d$  is a power of  $p$ , let  $q = d/p$ . Suppose  $D = \{x | v(x - x_0) \geq \lambda\} \subset \bar{K}$  is a ball containing all the roots of  $f$ .*

- (1) *If  $d$  is not a  $p$  power,  $D$  contains a root of  $f^{[q]} := f^{(q)}/q!$ .*
- (2) *If  $d$  is a  $p$  power, let  $D' = \{x | v(x - x_0) \geq \lambda - 1/(d - q)\}$ , an enlargement of  $D$ . Then  $D'$  contains a root of  $f^{[q]}$ .*

*Remark 2.4.* This is a  $p$ -adic analogue of Gauss' Theorem: If a ball in  $\mathbb{C}$  contains all the roots of a polynomial  $f$ , then it contains all the roots of  $f'$ .

*Proof of Lemma.* Assume we are in case (1). Without loss of generality we may assume the ball  $D$  is centered around  $x_0 = 0$ . Write  $f(X) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i X^i$ . Then  $f^{[q]}(0) = a_q$ , so  $v(f^{[q]}(0)) = v(a_q) \geq (d-q)\lambda$ . Let  $\beta_i$  be the roots of  $f^{[q]}$ , then

$$f^{[q]}(0) = \binom{d}{q} \prod_{i=1}^{d-q} \beta_i$$

since the leading coefficient of  $f^{[q]}$  is  $\binom{d}{q}$ . But  $v\left(\binom{d}{q}\right) = 0$ , so there is some  $\beta_i$  for which  $v(\beta_i) \geq \lambda$ .

In case (2), the argument is the same, the only difference being that now  $v\left(\binom{d}{q}\right) = 1$ .<sup>1</sup>  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition.* We prove the following statement by induction on the degree  $d$  of  $x$ .

Statement: If  $x \in \bar{K}$  is such that for all  $g \in G_K$ ,  $v(x - gx) \geq n$ , then there exists  $y \in K$  such that

$$v(x - y) \geq n - \sum_{i=1}^{[\log_p d]} \frac{1}{p^i - p^{i-1}}.$$

Note that this inequality is stronger than that asserted in the Proposition. Let  $f(X)$  be the monic minimal polynomial of  $x$  over  $K$ , of degree  $d$ .

For  $d = 1$  we can take  $y = x$ . For the induction step, let  $d > 1$ . First suppose  $d$  is not a  $p$  power. Let  $q$  be the  $p$ -part of  $d$ . By Lemma,  $f^{[q]}$  has a root  $\beta$  satisfying  $v(x - \beta) \geq n$ . For any  $g \in G_K$ , we have

$$v(\beta - g\beta) \geq \min \{v(\beta - x), v(x - gx), v(gx - g\beta)\} \geq n.$$

Let  $d(\beta)$  be the degree of  $\beta$ , then  $d(\beta) \leq d - q$ . By induction hypothesis, there is an element  $y \in K$  with

$$v(\beta - y) \geq n - \sum_{i=1}^{[\log_p d(\beta)]} \frac{1}{p^i - p^{i-1}}.$$

But  $v(x - y) \geq \min \{v(x - \beta), v(\beta - y)\}$ . So the statement is true for  $d$ .

Suppose  $d$  is a  $p$  power. Let  $q = d/p$ . Then by Lemma  $f^{[q]}$  has a root  $\beta$  satisfying  $v(x - \beta) \geq n - 1/(d - q)$ . As before we see that for all  $g \in G_K$ ,

$$v(g\beta - \beta) \geq n - 1/(d - q).$$

By induction hypothesis we find a  $y \in K$  such that

$$v(\beta - y) \geq n - 1/(d - q) - \sum_{i=1}^{[\log_p d(\beta)]} \frac{1}{p^i - p^{i-1}}.$$

Then

$$v(x - y) \geq n - 1/(d - q) - \sum_{i=1}^{[\log_p d(\beta)]} \frac{1}{p^i - p^{i-1}} \geq n - \sum_{i=1}^{[\log_p d]} \frac{1}{p^i - p^{i-1}}.$$

$\square$

<sup>1</sup>In the two cases when computing  $v\left(\binom{d}{q}\right)$ , simply use the formula  $v(n!) = \sum_i [n/p^i]$ .

*Remark 2.5.* In the above proof, the element  $y$  that we found is in fact the root of the linear polynomial  $f^{(d-1)}$ , as can be seen from the induction process. This is none other than the arithmetic average of the conjugates of  $x$ . However if one makes the naive estimate  $v(y) = v(\sum_g gx - x) - v(d) \geq \min_g v(gx - x) - v(d) \geq n - v(d)$ , the lower bound depends on the degree  $d$  of  $x$ , which is not good enough for our purpose.